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AGENDA

- Academic and Community Partnerships that Reduce Racial Health Disparities
- What's in the Secret Sauce?
- Process
- Partnership Sustainability Toolkit
- Discussion
From 2013-15, low birthweight babies decreased from 20.7% to 3.8% (National Academy of Sciences, 2017).
PROCESS

Phase 1, the project team established the 10-member PCOR Sustainability Panel to identify priority topics in PCOR partnership sustainability over the course of three meetings in Denver, CO.

I) connector role
ii) equitable power dynamics
iii) shared motivation and vision among members
iv) reciprocity
v) institutional values that support community partnership

Barriers
I) social determinants
ii) funding
iii) lack of institutionalization

Phase 2, a Shared Learning Collaborative was formed to allow 30 participants from across the nation to share their thoughts on these priority topics in small group video conferences.

Phase 3, the PiPS Team hosted the 2018 Partnership Sustainability Conference where 66 participants gathered from across the nation in Denver, Colorado to discuss priority topics in partnership sustainability with the end goal of developing best practices for sustainable partnerships.

Phase 4, the collective comprised of conference participants formed through the conference aims to produce meaningful resources and guidelines reflective of real-world experiences that can be used to promote partnership sustainability as well as inform the development of sustainability methodological standards that can be applied at the onset of a PCOR project.
SUSTAINABILITY TOOLKIT
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. What is your initial response to the framework demonstrated? What questions do you have?

2. What do you like about how the information is displayed?

3. What are some ways to improve the way the information is displayed?

4. What other frameworks that you can think of might be better than the one proposed?

5. In what ways can we help you to better navigate this kind of material?
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

6. The working group recognizes that information that different partners need may differ. Also, the length of a partnership may also lead to variation in needed information (e.g. developing, established, maintenance). Currently, the working group has displayed the key themes as the top “layer”. In your opinions, which “layer” is most important to you? What order should the layers be in?

7. What sort of image should be underneath the top layer?

8. Are the words used to describe the major themes meaningful to you? Explain.

9. Are any themes obviously missing?
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